



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,350	02/01/2001	Yechiam Yemini	18704-014	7201
56949 7590 03/13/2007 WilmerHale/Columbia University 399 PARK AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022			EXAMINER SHAW, PELING ANDY	
			ART UNIT 2144	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 03/13/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/775,350	YEMINI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peling A. Shaw	2144	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Peling A. Shaw. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mat Byrne (reg. no. 40,934). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 08 March 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: Interview Agenda.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Jensen et al. (US 5870564 A).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: claim 1 rejection is discussed with respect to the applied prior art. No conclusion is reached. Applicant is encouraged to further amend the claim language to distinct the claimed invention from the applied art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required

**DRAFT****19240/224 US1**

Applicants: Yechiam Yemini et al. Examiner: Shaw  
Application No.: 09/775,350 Confirmation No.: 7201  
Filing Date: February 1, 2001 Art Unit: 2144  
For: Method and Apparatus for Dynamically Addressing and Routing in a Data Network

AGENDA FOR TELEPHONIC INTERVIEW

1. Discuss proposed amendment:

1. (DRAFT AMENDMENT) A network comprising a plurality of Nodes interconnected by Links, wherein:

(a) each Node is assigned a set of one or more coordinate labels, each of said coordinate labels representing a path comprising one or more Links ~~or other Nodes~~, and each of said coordinate labels including at least one label that each identify a corresponding one of said one or more Links in said path;

(b) each coordinate label is unique to the Node to which it is assigned; and

(c) a path between a first Node and a second, non-adjacent Node being determined ~~from one~~ by combining said at least one label of one of said coordinate labels assigned to said first Node and said at least one label of one of said coordinate labels assigned to said second Node.

2. Discuss exactly what in the citations listed on page 3 of the Office Action (quoted below) is the "coordinate label." While the rejection lists many different sections, it is not clear what the examiner is asserting is the coordinate label. Without understanding this, it is very difficult to understand how the Examiner is applying the cited reference to the rest of the claim, and how Applicants should respond to the rejection.

each Node is assigned a set of one or more coordinate labels, each representing a path comprising one or more Links or other Nodes (abstract; column 6, line 65-column 7, line 8: mathematical nodes, edges in Cartesian grid; column 7, lines 52-63; column 8, lines 9-13 and 42-46: potential paths; column 18, lines 19-34: On the other hand, the granule 176b along with its edge 178b and underlying or previous granule 172a remain in consideration for inclusion in a potential path, by virtue of the favorable potential edges 182c, 182d, and 182e.)